

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS Charles University

Macromolecules and nanostructures in a low temperature plasma

Habilitation Thesis

Jaroslav Kousal

Prague 2022

Acknowledgements

I thank all the colleagues, too numerous to name, that have helped me during the previous years of my carrier. I thank also my family and friends for the support through all these years. I would like to express a special thanks to professor Hynek Biederman, who played a pivotal role in drawing my interest to plasma-based deposition techniques and to the field of plasma polymers in particular.

Contents

1	Pref	ace		1
2	Macromolecules and nanostructures in a low temperature plasma			2
	2.1	Plasma-surface interactions		
		2.1.1	Low temperature plasma	2
		2.1.2	Plasma surface treatment	3
		2.1.3	Magnetron sputtering	4
	2.2 Nanostructures		8	
		2.2.1	Nanocomposites	8
		2.2.2	Nanoparticles	9
	2.3 Plasma polymers		a polymers	19
3	Concluding remarks and outlook		27	
4 Selected publications		ected pu	ublications	28
	4.1	Autho	or's role(s)	29
5	General references			31

1. Preface

Dear reader,

the author of this thesis had a hard time in selection of one sub-topic to describe. Ultimately, he realized that they are too connected to each other to be fully separated.

In this habilitation thesis, the selected author's publications will be used to highlight the connections of the processes during plasma-surface interaction [JK1, JK2, JK3], processes of formation of nanoparticles and nanocomposites [JK4, JK5, JK6, JK7] and a new view on the plasma polymers [JK8, JK9, JK10, JK11, JK12].

A brief commentaries to these publications regarding motivations, geneses and highlighted outputs together with a broader overview will be given. For the context, selected illustrative examples from the related research fields will be referenced, with some emphasis on works where the author of this thesis was also involved.

Jaroslav Kousal, 2022

2. Macromolecules and nanostructures in a low temperature plasma

2.1 Plasma-surface interactions

2.1.1 Low temperature plasma

Plasma, in the most concise way, can be regarded as a "medium containing free charged particles that exhibits a 'collective behaviour'". Even in cases when the charged particles represent only a small minority of the matter in a plasma, the long-distance character of the electromagnetic interactions (both from externally and internally generated fields) give plasma many properties unusual in non-ionized matter.



Figure 2.1: Schematic representation of non–magnetized low temperature plasma. 1–neutral gas; 2–electrons; 3–ions; 4–excited species; 5–radicals; 6–photons; 7–wall/substrate; 8–electric field near the wall (sheath); 9–fast ion.

Low-temperature plasma is typically a non-equilibrium environment consisting of "cold" neutral gas ($T_n < 0.1 \text{ eV}$), ions and light and "hot" energetic electrons ($T_e > 1 \text{ eV}$), together with other species originated mostly form the neutral gas (Fig. 2.1). The energies in the "hot" particles' energy distribution reach the threshold for the dissociation of atomic bonds while most of the molecules, if present, can remain below the temperature of their thermal decomposition. In this way, this thermodynamic non-equilibrium promotes additional reaction pathways, not known from classical chemistry [1]. For most processes in the low temperature plasma, concentration of electrons (plasma density) and electron temperature (energy distribution) are the key parameters. Additionally, local electromagnetic fields can also accelerate charged particles to considerable energies. These effects are the basis of the utilization of low-temperature plasma as a tool to modify (section 2.1.2) and/or synthesize (sections 2.1.3, 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.3) condensed matter. For an overview of the theory and applications of low temperature plasma, refer e.g. to [2].

2.1.2 Plasma surface treatment

When low temperature plasma interacts with adjacent surfaces, the higher mobility of electrons causes charging of the surface of a electrically floating object in a plasma to be negatively charged. This forms a layer with non–zero electric field intensity ("sheath") near the surface that accelerates ions (which are mostly positively charged) towards the surface. Under most conditions the ion energy (\sim few eV) is too low to influence the inter atomic bonds in the material of the surface directly, unless the potential difference in the sheath is increased externally, e.g. at the powered cathode in the discharge (section 2.1.3).

However, it is important to note that during the plasma-surface interaction, the excess energy is transferred in significant part into heat. If the local temperature of the surface of the treated material becomes comparable to its melting point, the material ablation rate increases significantly. For polymers, this temperature threshold is at relatively low temperatures, typically just a few hundred K above room temperature.



Figure 2.2: Etching of thin BSA film by a plasma jet in dependence on the nozzle-sample distance. Left: Etching rate vs. time. Right: Sample temperature vs. time. Reproduced from [JK1].

Plasma surface treatment has already industrially well-established appli-

cations, like wettability and roughness control or a plasma cleaning. This includes cleaning of the surfaces from organic contaminants like proteins. This is especially important in the field of biomedicine, since proteins can be biologically active (or can even, in the case of prions, represent an infectious agens themselves) and some proteins are resistant to classical sterilization procedures [3]. Removal of such contaminants via plasma treatment falls within the topic of "plasma sterilization", itself being a part of broader field of so– called "plasma medicine" (a recent review can be found in [4]).

To represent the effects of plasma on a "fragile" matter of proteins, bovineserum albumin (BSA), generally one of the common "model" proteins, was chosen [JK1]. In such case, even so–called afterglow (decaying, cold, nonactive) plasma blown out of the low-temperature plasma jet at atmospheric pressure was sufficient to etch the BSA film. The modification of the surface of the film progressed mostly in first 10–20% of the thickness of the film, where a layer of oxidized, more etching–resistant material was formed. It was demonstrated that even temperatures just above 40 °C led to an significant increase of the material ablation rate (Fig. 2.2). Qualitatively similar effects were observed also in magnetron sputtering of polymers (section 2.1.3).



Figure 2.3: Scheme of a planar magnetron. Reproduced from [5].

2.1.3 Magnetron sputtering

In magnetron (in the meaning of sputtering device) a partially magnetically trapped low-temperature plasma ring is formed above a negatively charged electrode covered with a material that serves as target for ion bombardment (Fig. 2.3). Electrons are held above the cathode by the combination of orbiting the magnetic field lines, effect of the magnetic mirror and the $E \times B$ drift. Ions from the bulk of the plasma are accelerated through an electric field in a sheath layer towards the surface of the magnetron target into so–called erosion zone (erosion track). These ions gain an energy of higher tens to hundreds eV. At such impact energies, both elastic and inelastic collision of the impacting ions with the atoms of the target occur. Since the incoming atom has sufficient excess kinetic energy, the impacted atom itself can gain energy sufficient to displace another atom itself. In this way, a collisional avalanche in the target material occurs, leading to expulsion (sputtering) of ~ 1 atom of the target material per 1 incoming ion. Target atoms typically leave the material in neutral state so they can easily come through the plasma via diffusion and they can be deposited on the substrate, producing a thin film.



Figure 2.4: Positive ion mass spectra near the substrate plane during sputtering of polypropylene in Ar (up) and in N_2 (down). Reproduced from [JK2].

More commonly, the surface of the target is supposed to be conductive (to prevent charge accumulation). However, using high frequency (~MHz, radio frequency, RF) plasma it is possible to sputter insulating materials. First experiments with sputtering of polymers were reported at the end of 1960's [6], with initial interest especially in fluorocarbon polymers [7]. The founder of the author's group, prof. Hynek Biederman, is one of the pioneers of the magnetron sputtering of polymers [8, 9, 10]. Therefore, author's group utilizes the know-how in this sub-field of so-called plasma polymerization (sec-

tion 2.3) frequently. The typical molecular structure of material prepared by sputtering of polymers is highly irregular and nearly fully crosslinked, since the sputtered fragments – the building blocks – are very short (few atoms). However, in many cases this is not a drawback, especially when properties like (non)conductivity, hardness or uniformity are more important than exact polymer chain structure. In many cases, the functionality of the film is dependent basically just on the composition of the surface. Then even a thin (\sim 20 nm) film can e.g. make an efficient binding layer between two otherwise poorly adhering materials [11, 12].



Figure 2.5: Comparison of infrared spectra of the as-deposited film prepared by sputtering of nylon (measured in-situ) and of the same film measured exsitu after the exposure to ambient air. The peak near 3300 cm⁻¹ shows absorption of –OH groups and the shift of the peak near 1650 cm⁻¹ shows replacement of C=N groups with C=O groups. Reproduced from [JK3].

Based on the existing knowledge on the binding of polar groups and especially the amino groups to proteins, films with high content of -NH and/or $-NH_2$ groups ("amino–rich coatings") were considered a "hot topic" especially in 2000's. Motivated by the potential biomedical applications, various approaches to prepare amino–rich (and nitrogen–rich in general) surfaces were explored, including plasma polymerization and plasma grafting [13, 14, 15].

Sputtering of polymers is well suited for preparation of films for these "interfacial" applications. There are two basic ways how to make a thin film with desired functional chemical groups – either sputter a polymer in a reactive gas mixture that promotes forming of such groups or sputter a polymer already containing these groups.

The first approach was performed in [JK2] where polypropylene was sputtered in $Ar-N_2$ mixtures. As expected, nitrogen was incorporated in the film, as well as on its surface, as was demonstrated on films' wettability. Additionally, a big increase in the deposition rate with increasing amount of N_2 was found. This can be explained by the formation of shorter and more volatile polymer chains on the surface of the polymer target via passivation of temporary radicals by nitrogen. Shorter polymer chains are then more readily sputtered or just thermally released from the target.

The former effect paradoxically leads to presence of a heavier molecular species in the plasma during sputtering in N_2 than in Ar. This is visible in the Fig. 2.4. A slight shift of the "carbon fingers" in the mass spectra by ~2 amu shows the presence of nitrogen bound in the sputtered polymer fragments.

The latter effect was also clearly observed during sputtering at higher magnetron powers, where also additional thermal scission of the polymer chains on the surface of the target takes place. This corresponds well with the findings of [JK8], that are commented in the section 2.3.

The second approach to prepare a nitrogen–rich film via magnetron sputtering, namely a sputtering of polyamide nylon, is described in [JK3]. While a clear presence of nitrogen in the films sputtered in Ar was detected, it was not as high as in the original polymer (8% in the films vs. 12.5% in nylon (without hydrogen, not detectable by XPS)). This is rather typical situation during magnetron sputtering of polymers, since some elements are more easily "lost" during the sputtering process. However, when N₂ was added into the process gas mixture, the nitrogen content increased (up to nearly 40%) in a similar way like in [JK2].

One of the findings of this work was detection of CO species being released from the sputtered polymer. This was found to be pretty common during all types of plasma sputtering/degradation/decomposition of polymer containing C=O groups. This knowledge was helpful later during the modelling of the process in [JK11], discussed in the section 2.3.

A typical drawback of nitrogen–rich (and even more of amino–rich) coatings is their fast and intense aging, when nitrogen in the film is gradually lost. Actually, after exposure of the films to ambient air (or even water, in case of many potential bioapplications), a big part of this process is nearly instantaneous. The experimental setup used in [JK3] allowed to compare XPS elemental composition and infrared spectra of the films in–situ (as–deposited, without breaking vacuum) and after exposure to air (Fig. 2.5), and the above mentioned fast changes were clearly detectable.

Later, a general understanding that increasing the concentration of $N(H_x-$ groups on the surface of the film above a few percent has little effect on increasing the effectivity of binding of the biomolecules – an original driver of

the field. While the nitrogen–containing films remain useful, the "race" to attain as high $N(H_x$ – groups content in the film as possible has basically ended [16]. However, the techniques developed in the field remain useful.

2.2 Nanostructures

2.2.1 Nanocomposites

Nanocomposite thin films consist of a matrix material and a dispersed phase(s) (filler(s)), commonly in the form of nanoparticles (nanofibers, nanoplatelets etc.). There are numerous ways to synthesize such materials ([17, 18, 19]). In case of organic-inorganic nanocomposites, the organic material (polymer, plasma polymer) typically serves as a matrix and inorganic material (metal, oxide) serves as a filler.



Figure 2.6: Properties of nanocomposite films prepared from dual magnetron sputtering of SiO₂ and polyimide in dependence on the magnetron power ratio. Left: RBS/ERDA elemental composition. Right: "Equivalent filling factor" established from RBS/ERDA data. Reproduced from [JK4].

The core parameter of any composite material is the volume fraction of each constituent (in case of filler(s) so-called "filling factor"). In many cases, nanocomposites are prepared by the techniques where the deposition of the matrix and deposition of the filler are influencing each other. This is a typical situation, e.g. in case of plasma polymerization combined with magnetron sputtering or in dual-magnetron sputtering. Actually, the cross-influence starts already at the magnetron sputtering, since the diffusion of the other material can change the plasma properties at the other magnetron, influencing the deposition rate in this way. Moreover, due to mostly quite different surface energies of the film constituents combined with the possibility of atomic diffusion inside the material, the relation of ratio of atomic fluxes to the volume fractions of constituents is often not straightforward. While the tunability of the nanocomposite properties is retained nevertheless, the cross-influence of the deposition of matrix and filler does not allow to simply calibrate the deposition rates of constituents independently.

An example of solving such problem is presented in [JK4] in case od dualmagnetron sputtering of polyimide and SiO_2 . In the case where the direct (or semi-direct) measurement of volume fractions of film constituent is not available but the full elemental composition of the film is measured, it is still possible to recalculate the elemental composition to the volume composition (Fig. 2.6).

2.2.2 Nanoparticles

First production of nanoparticles (size $1-10^2$ nm) clearly identified as such was done over 150 years ago by Michael Faraday [20]. He used chemical means to prepare a colloidal solution of nanoparticles, a general approach performed and improved in many variants till today [21]. While there is a plethora of methods to prepare nanoparticles by "wet chemistry", there is also a rich field of nanoparticles prepared using physical methods, including vacuum-based techniques [22].



Figure 2.7: Scheme of the source of nanoparticles (GAS): 1–main chamber; 2–aggregation chamber; 3–orifice; 4–water cooling; 5–carrier gas inlet; 6–water-cooled magnetron; 7–target; 8–power source; 9–plasma. Reproduced/modified from [JK5].

Production of nanoparticles is interesting in itself since nanoparticles (mostly due to their surface-to-volume ratio) have many interesting physical and chemical properties that often significantly differ from the corresponding bulk material. In the chapter 2.2.1, the problem of limited control of self-assembly of nanocomposite from simultaneous atomic (or nearly atomic) fluxes of constituents was described. One of the possible solutions is to decouple the production of matrix from the production of filler.

This needs a separate source of nanoparticles. Since there is a significant know-how on magnetron sputtering present at the author's department, a variant of magnetron-based gas aggregation cluster (nanoparticle) source ("GAS"/"Haberland source" [23]) was found most suitable (schematic image shown in Fig. 2.7). In this source, the magnetron–sputtered material (section 2.1.3) forms nanoparticles in an aggregation chamber that are dragged by the flowing gas at ~10¹ Pa into the deposition chamber through a small orifice.

In general, in gas aggregation sources, the main mechanism responsible for the nucleation and initial growth of nanoparticles (nanoclusters) is a "ternary" collision of an initial atom (or small cluster), "deposited" atom and a third atom (cooling gas, mostly inert (Ar, He)) that will carry away the heat of coalescence. Since true ternary collisions are rare, more realistic nucleation scheme is a two–step process

$$A + A \rightarrow A_2^*$$
$$A_2^* + G_{cold} \rightarrow A_2 + G_{hot}$$

where A are the nucleating atoms and G is the cooling gas atom or molecule. Still, in order to to overcome the (mostly thermal) processes disassembling the particles, the nucleating atoms must be present in sufficient concentration to aggregate, forming an analogue of supersaturated vapours. The nucleation process can be more complex in the presence of reactive gases. In magnetron– based sources, nucleation on ions can be dominant [24]. Detailed description of the gas aggregation sources physics and applications can be found e.g. in [25].

The original idea behind the magnetron-based gas aggregation nanoparticle sources was that the nanoparticles (charged by the magnetron plasma) would be electrostatically accelerated towards the substrate in order to "splash" fill the surface irregularities, decreasing in this way the roughness nad porosity of the growing thin film. This idea, although working in principle, met a big obstacle on the way to be employed commercially - the low deposition rate of the nanoparticles (originally \sim µg/h).

Several ways of improving the output of GAS were found, e.g. seeding the aggregation of nanoparticles with reactive gases [26, 27, 28] or even organic vapours [29]. Also, the original mass-filtering of nanoparticles can be dropped (and nanoparticle flux increased) in many cases of practical applications, when broad size distribution o nanoparticles (FWHM value \sim mean value) is not an issue. However, without better understanding of processes inside GAS, the optimization of the nanoparticle production would be still a hit-and-miss.

For a long time most models of the growth of nanoparticles in GAS did



Figure 2.8: 2D map of the gas velocity near the GAS orifice of the nanoparticle source obtained using Direct–Simulatiom–Monte–Carlo (DSMC) modeling. Bottom line of the map is the axis of symmetry. Reproduced from [JK5].



Figure 2.9: General layout of the electrostatic deflection setup used to measure the velocities of the nanoparticles exiting GAS. For a given deflection voltage and a given sample location, the lightest and heaviest particles obtained from the size distribution histogram are used to estimate the maximal and minimal values of the velocity of the particles of the corresponding size, respectively. Reproduced from [JK5].

not pay much attention to the details of the transport of nanoparticles inside the source itself via neutral gas. One of the problematic parts of GAS is the exit orifice. The gas flow inside the orifice is non-trivial by itself, since there is a pressure drop of 2–3 orders of magnitude on a few mm (Fig. 2.8). The flow is quickly going from deeply subsonic to supersonic velocities and from continuous to free molecular regime, a challenging situation for theory and modelling. In this rapidly changing environment the neutral gas drag is accelerating nanoparticles, determining their velocity vector at exiting the source. Since this acceleration depends on the mass/cross-section ratio of the nanoparticles, a significant dependence of the velocity of the particles on their mass was predicted [30]. The velocity of nanoparticles is also important for their sticking coefficient and adhesion to substrate and the morphology of the film grown from them.



Figure 2.10: Modelled and experimentally determined values of velocity of the nanoparticles exiting GAS. Velocities vs. the number of atoms in the particle (or the diameter of the particle) are shown. Reproduced from [JK5].

A very simple electrostatic deflection setup (Fig. 2.9) coupled with postdeposition analysis of sizes of the deflected particles was used to determine the velocity of Ag nanoparticles within three orders of magnitude in mass [JK5]. The simple geometry of the setup can be treated analytically and the deflection distance y of the particle can be expressed as

$$y = (l_1 + l_2 + l_3)sin\alpha - \frac{Uq}{dmv_0^2} \left(\frac{l_2^2}{2} + l_2 l_3\right)$$

where l_1 , l_2 , l_3 , d describe the geometry of the experiment (Fig. 2.9), α is the initial angle of flight of the particle, U is the deflection voltage between the plates, q is the charge of the particle, m is the mass of the particle and v_0 is the velocity of the particle. This expression would is sufficient to obtain the expected dependencies of y on other parameters, but integration of the motion of particles using numerical model of the electric field was used to deal with the edge effects of the finite length of the deflection plates.

Since there was necessary to operate with several initial assumptions about the process, an independent "hybrid" model of nanoparticle motion allowing a partial cross–checking of the interpretation of the experimental data was developed. It was based on analytical expressions for gas atom-nanoparticle impulse transfer integrated on the background of numerical solution of the gas flow (Fig. 2.8).

The dependence of the velocity of the nanoparticles on their mass was found to be quite significant (Fig. 2.10). While all the measured velocities were compatible with the expected "soft landing" regime of nanoparticles on the substrate, the velocity ratio between fastest and slowest nanoparticles was 10:1.

Velocities of the nanoparticles were subsequently measured in a narrower range of masses using mass spectrometry [31] and more recently characterized using a slotted disc filter [32]. Understanding the influence of the gas flow on the nanoparticles was found important e.g. for the preparation of core–shell nanoparticles [33]. There, the pressure–tuned deceleration of nanoparticles allowed for optimization of the in–flight shell deposition.

The influence of gas flow was found to be important also inside the GAS itself. Motion of very small (few nm) nanoparticles was demonstrated to be dominated by the Brownian motion [34] and the overall performance of GAS depends also on he internal configuration of the walls, orifice and gas inlet [35, 36, 37].

The above mentioned deflection–velocity experiment [JK5] gave also additional insight into the question of the charge on the nanoparticles. The velocity of the nanoparticles was not dependent on the polarity of their charge, confirming that at least near and out of the GAS orifice the neutral gas drag is driving force on the nanoparticles. Through additional reasoning, an interesting hint on the charge of nanoparticles exiting GAS was obtained. Most (or nearly all) charged nanoparticles must be charged only by plus/minus one elementary charge. While this was suspected (and to some extent experimentally confirmed) before, the broad range of masses of nanoparticles covered in this experiment allowed to confirm this to be a general rule in magnetron-based GAS. The zero or only single elementary charge of nanoparticles exiting GAS is not a trivial statement, since the low temperature plasma easily charges any adjacent surfaces (section 2.1.2), including nanoparticles.

In the simplest treatment, when the particle of radius r is considered to be a spherical capacitor, its steady stationary charge is

$$q_r = 4\pi\epsilon_0 r V_r$$

where V_r is a floating potential given by the balance of electron and ion flux. Floating potential for typical low pressure plasmas in argon is approximately $V_r \sim 5T_e$, where T_e is the electron temperature in eV. Thus in the active plasma with $T_e \sim 1 \,\text{eV}$ one shall expect charge of about 1e per 1 nm of the diameter of the particle, in a seemingly clear contradiction with the single charge of the nanoparticles found in [JK5].

The reason of this discrepancy is simple - the active plasma zone in magnetron-based GAS represents only a small fraction of the volume of the aggregation chamber. The rest of its volume fills mostly a weak afterglow (or auxiliary, [30]) plasma. Then the equilibrium charge of the nanoparticles drops quickly towards zero with nearly symmetrical fluctuations to plus/minus single elementary charge [38].

However, in order to have a clear picture of the plasma–nanoparticle interaction, a good experimental data on the plasma parameters inside GAS are needed. One of the largely unsolved problems in the physics of magnetron– based GAS is the unavoidable coupling of the nanoparticle nucleation&growth and electrostatic forces–driven transport, especially in the initial stages of the process of nanoparticle formation.



Figure 2.11: Self–assembled Coulomb "plasma crystal" of microparticles charged by (and levitating in) the low pressure plasma. Reproduced from [39].

Importance of this growth-charging-transport coupling is quite well known from the field of so-called "dusty/complex plasmas" (monography review can be found e.g. in [40]). Big amount of data and models were accumulated mostly on the micrometers-sized particles in a normal glow discharge. Effect of trapping of charged particles was studied and utilized e.g. to make so-called "plasma crystals" (Fig. 2.11) or even employed to characterize the local plasma parameters [41].

Going down several orders of magnitude in particle size, quantitative characterizations of plasma–nanoparticles interaction become more scarce. Most such works were done on particles grown via plasma polymerization (section 2.3) from gaseous precursors where the source of material (typically hydrocarbon molecules) is decoupled from the source of the plasma [42, 43]. In such experiments, nanoparticles have significant influence on the plasma, since the they very effectively scavenge the electrons.

In the case of nanoparticles grown in the magnetron discharge from the sputtered material, the situation is even more complicated. Conditions in the plasma near the erosion track of the magnetron govern the sputtering rate of the material needed for nucleation and growth of nanoparticles. The plasma parameters vary significantly within this region that is not an easy target for direct measurement of plasma parameters even in the normal particle–free case.

Another important issue is that the magnetron discharge in GAS is typically operated at higher pressures (10^1-10^2 Pa) than it is common for most sputtering processes $(10^{-1}-1 \text{ Pa})$ and thus even the basic data on plasma parameters of magnetron discharge at GAS conditions were rather minimal [44]. There was a clear motivation to obtain such data and – if possible – to quantify the role of the nanoparticles.



Figure 2.12: Influence of presence of nanoparticles triggered by the oxygen admixture on the magnetron discharge shown as time dependencies of measured quantities during one continuous experiment. Left: Magnetron voltage and deposition rate of nanoparticles (typical size distribution shown in the inset). Right: Plasma density and effective electron temperature 30 mm from the magnetron plane. Reproduced from [JK6].

As was mentioned previously, the addition of small amount of reactive gases, like oxygen, into GAS can in some cases trigger increased production of nanoparticles. In the case of nanoparticles from (sub)oxides of reactive metals like aluminium or titanium it can lead to a rather abrupt "switch on" of the nanoparticle formation with minimal changes in other process parameters [28, 45]. While this sensitivity can also complicate keeping operation of the GAS stable, it offers an opportunity to characterize the accompanying change in the plasma parameters. In this case, we can assume that the detected changes are mostly caused by the presence of nanoparticles and their interactions with the plasma. As the model process, production of Ti/TiO_x nanoparticles from reactive Ti sputtering in Ar with a few % O₂ admixture was chosen [JK6]. When the Ti target was in the metallic state, no production of nanoparticles was observed. With increasing O₂ admixture, at the onset of oxidation of the target, nanoparticles appeared. Their production increased until the target became quickly fully oxidized (known effect from reactive sputtering [46]) and there is not enough material sputtered to form nanoparticles anymore.

Although direct measurement of plasma parameters using a Langmuir probe [47] was challenging in the highly depositing plasma, the influence nanoparticles on the plasma was clearly detected (Fig. 2.12). The plasma density dropped by an order of magnitude and electron temperature increased threefold.



Figure 2.13: 2D maps of of electron density and electron temperature inside the GAS. Left: Schematic representation of the mapped area in GAS. Middle: Plasma density without nanoparticles. Right: Plasma density with nanoparticles. Erosion zone of the magnetron is at x=0 cm and r=2 cm. Reproduced and adapted from [JK5] and [JK6].

With the experience gained, it was possible to operate the Langmuir probe long and reliably enough to fully utilize the GAS with a movable magnetron in order to prepare a 2D maps of the plasma parameters (Fig. 2.13; for the maps of all parameters, see [JK6]). The influence of the presence of nanoparticles on the plasma was even more clear, since the effect could be localized. Observed changes above the erosion zone were expected, since most of the material to form nanoparticles comes through this area. However, even more pronounced changes were found just above the center of the magnetron target. The electron density depletion as well as the rise in the electron temperature was most pronounced there, suggesting a high concentration of nanoparticles in a supposedly "empty" region. Interestingly, even in the plasma potential could be seen that the negatively charged particles would be expelled from the plasma ring both along the axis of the magnetron (as expected) and towards the axis and the centre of the target. To the author's knowledge, the work [JK6] provided first 2D map dataset of plasma parameters in GAS, including the direct quantification of the influence of presence of nanoparticles. Good data of similar kind still remain scarce [48].



Figure 2.14: Different zones of the growth of nanoparticles in GAS identified by the in-operando SAXS: I–nucleation and growth, II–trapping in the capture zone, III–transport of small nanoparticles along the GAS, IV–transport of small nanoparticles outside the GAS. Reproduced from [JK7].

The detection of the changes above the centre of the magnetron was not coincidental. The authors' group performed a series of experimental campaigns at Deutsches Elektronen–Synchrotron DESY (Hamburg, Germany) and the author is proud to have one of the key roles in the design, performing and interpretation of those experiments. The measurements utilized Small–Angle X–ray Scattering (SAXS) in order to detect the growth of nanoparticles in GAS in–operando, in–situ. Previously, an X–ray characterization was done on nanoparticles outside the source [49]. While this was an outstanding feat, measurements inside the GAS were challenging in a different way due to the background scattering on the gas, X–ray windows contamination, synchronization of the setup etc.

When the technical obstacles were solved, an important finding appeared – a big amount of nanoparticles was found to be trapped in the front of centre of the magnetron [JK7]. These trapped particles were several times bigger than the "common" nanoparticles (~80 nm vs. ~20 nm), holding a big share of the volume of the sputtered material. The general "map" of the inside of the magnetron-based GAS had to be revised (Fig. 2.14). Additional time–resolved data have shown that the formation of the trapped cloud takes ~1 s and the release of the particles after the discharge was switched off was clearly observed (Fig. 2.15). Later measurements have studied the dynamics of the growth of nanoparticles in this trapped cloud and helped to explain some periodic instabilities sometimes observed during GAS measurements, the trapping of



Figure 2.15: Time-resolved X-ray scattering intensity in GAS. Growth and trapping of nanoparticles above the magnetron (zone II; x=4–8 mm) and detected release and transport of nanoparticles after the discharge is switched off shown (enhanced view presented in the inset). Reproduced from [JK7].

nanoparticles in GAS was confirmed also using UV-VIS spectrophotometry via plasmon absorption on Ag nanoparticles [51].

These findings helped to explain some limitations of the output of magnetron-based GAS - this trapping effect was found to happen especially during conditions when the production rate of nanoparticles increases (especially with increasing pressure in the aggregation chamber) and the trapping is on of the key limiting factors to increase it further.

While the general mechanism of the trapping is understood as a direct analogy of the effects known from the field of complex plasmas, the full quantitative modelling remains challenging. Nevertheless, knowledge about existence of this effect has already helped to increase the performance of magnetron– based GAS. Tailored mitigation of the trapping through optimization of the gas flow to manipulate or disrupt the cloud of trapped nanoparticles was already demonstrated [52, 53]. Significant improvement in the deposition rate was shown. Improvement of GAS performance will be helpful also in production of nanocomposites (section 2.2.1) with high deposition rate of plasma polymer matrix (section 2.3).

2.3 Plasma polymers

Plasma polymers are materials prepared from organic molecules using nonequilibrium plasma chemistry. They are typically prepared as thin films.

The plasma polymerization¹ process typically starts with introducing monomer in a gaseous state into low-temperature plasma where it is "activated". This typically means fragmenting the monomer molecules by collisions with high-energy plasma species, forming mostly radicals in the process. In this way, longer macromolecules are formed either already in the volume of the plasma (to form nanoparticles (section 2.2.2)) or (more typically) on the substrate. The resulting material is then significantly different from the original monomer and the molecular structure of the film can be even considered to be partially disordered (Fig. 2.16). On the other hand, the process is inherently solvent-free and cross-linking in the macromolecular structure is very easy.

In contrast, classical chemistry ("wet" chemistry) methods can prepare materials with regular, even complex molecular structures. Unfortunately, solvents or crosslinking agents used during polymer synthesis can form a residues in the material ant high degree of crosslinking is often quite tricky to obtain.



Figure 2.16: Example of the possible structure of plasma polymer prepared by magnetron sputtering of polytetrafluoroethylene based on its polarized infrared spectra. Reproduced from [10].

One of the main limiting factors to obtain complex molecular structure of plasma polymers is the limit the molar mass of monomer that needs to be introduced into plasma in gaseous state. Monomers with more complex structure have typically too low vapour pressure to be practical, so generally simple low-molar mass monomers $(10^1-10^2 \text{ g mol}^{-1})$ must be used (note: rather extreme case of this issue is the magnetron sputtering of polymers, as described in the section 2.1.3).

¹Note: The term "plasma polymerization" broadly overlaps with the term "plasma– enhanced physical vapour deposition - PECVD". The author prefers the former term.

One of the ways how to overcome this limitation of plasma polymerization is to use a classical polymers as a source of comparatively high molar mass oligomers produced "in-situ".

Probably first use of such approach for deposition of thin films at low pressures have been demonstrated on polypropylene and polytetrafluorethylene at 1960's [54, 55]. In that case, the polymer material was put in a heating cell and heated to the point of of the onset of thermal degradation. The polymer chains experience thermal scission, releasing a stream of oligomer vapours that then form a soft thin film on the substrate. Such films were proposed to serve as a dielectric barriers [56].

Later, ionized cluster beam deposition technique was adapted to accommodate an evaporator with polymeric material [57, 58]. Compared to films from pure evaporation, the films exhibited an improved mechanical properties, but the details on their molecular structure remained limited.

Another way to release heavy precursors into plasma is to sublimate it through the thermal effects of the glow discharge plasma [59, 60] utilizing one of the effects of plasma treatment (section 2.1.2). More recently, remote plasma-assisted vacuum deposition (combining evaporation of complex molecules and an afterglow plasma) was developed [61, 62].



Figure 2.17: Magnetron sputtering of low-density polyethylene target with coevaporation at power ≥ 75 W. Left: Mass spectra of the process gas. Right: Infrared spectra of plasma polymer thin films. Reproduced from [JK8].

In the case on magnetron sputtering, a high temperature of the surface of the target is usually to be avoided. In the case of sputtering of polymers, due to their limited thermal conductivity, local temperature on the target can rise quite easily. During sputtering of polyethyelene target at high power, a hint of local "co–evaporation" of material from the erosion zone was found [63].

This effect was studied in more detail during sputtering of low-density polyethylene and polyisobutylene [JK8]. These materials were chosen intentionally for to their lower melting temperatures. Indeed, on both materials the "co–evaporation" regime was observed. The infrared spectra obtained from the films prepared at this deposition regime were nearly the same as for the original polymer (Fig. 2.17). The mass spectra of the gas have shown a significant increase of heavier C_xH_y fragments ($M_r>50 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$). While the thin films prepared by this technique were found to be interesting, the inherent instability of the process and very short target lifetime made systematic study challenging. There is basically no direct control over the local surface temperature of the target in this "thermal runaway" regime.



Figure 2.18: Experimental set-up used for Plasma-Assisted Vapour Thermal Deposition (PAVTD) (demonstrated using polylactic acid). Reproduced from [JK11].

To study the plasma polymers prepared from these "long" hydrocarbon fragments, the idea of thermal degradation of polymer in a dedicated crucible was revived and combined with utilization of an auxiliary plasma source to induce plasma polymerization [64]. A more recent version of similar experimental configuration is shown in the Fig. 2.18.²

In the subsequent works, it was found that the oligomers released from the thermal degradation of the polymeric material ("precursor") have indeed significantly heavier molar masses than the common compounds used in plasma polymerization from the gas phase $(10^2 \text{ g mol}^{-1}-10^3 \text{ g mol}^{-1})$. The thin films prepared using PAVTD then can retain most of the molecular structure of the classical polymers and tune it in a broad range by changing the power in (re)polymerizing plasma. This feature was utilized e.g. for preparation of non–fouling (protein non–adsorbing) films [65] or as a tool to study the self–

²This deposition principle can be found under various names, the author of this thesis prefers to use the term Plasma-Assisted Vapour Thermal Deposition (PAVTD).

organization of domains of dissimilar polymers [66]. Possibility to retain a big share of "classical" polymer chemistry and polymer physics was demonstrated.

The author of this thesis perceived the potential of PAVTD and he was able to obtain two research grants to explore this technique in more detail. Two key drivers were the study of the limits of tunability of the properties of the films and the possibility to gain a new insights into the process of plasma polymerization in general.



Figure 2.19: Time dependence of release of bacteriocine nisin from the drugloaded PVA film with tunable PEO PAVTD films overcoat. a) Relative release of nisin into water (power law fits in the inset) b) Antimicrobial activity against Staphylococcus aureus (qualitatively: wider inhibition zone = higher antimicrobial effect). Reproduced from [JK9].

The transition region between the films consisting of oligomers and fully crosslinked plasma polymers seemed promising for potential biomedicinal applications of the films. On the author's department, there was already a knowhow on using PAVTD with polyethylene oxide (PEO) as a precursor. A finding obtained on this material was that the molar mass of the original polymer plays only a minor role in the properties of the resulting films. The major influence was the plasma power used [JK9].

One of the basic problems in drug delivery systems, including coatings, is regulating the release rate of the drug. The PEO–like PAVTD films were utilized as an overcoat layer over a drug-loaded film (soluble polyvinyl alcohol loaded with nisin, a small protein with bactericidal effect). Control of the release rate of nisin in water was demonstrated on the nisin release dynamics as well as on the bactericidal effect of the films (Fig. 2.19). The latter test is important in showing that the released protein drug does not lose its tertiary structure during the storage or release.



Figure 2.20: Tunable hydrolysis rate of PLA-like PAVTD films prepared at varying effective plasma power. Reproduced from [JK10].

Most of the recent work was then focused on polylactic acid (PLA). In the work [JK10] it was demonstrated that PAVTD can be effectively described using a variant of so–called Yasuda parameter *Y* for gas-phase plasma polymerization [67].

$$Y = \frac{W}{FM}$$

where W is the plasma power in polymerization zone, F is the monomer molar flow and M is the molar mass of the monomer. Y represents the most simple scaling parameter in plasma polymerization, basically denoting energy expended on unit mass of the material.

The original Yasuda parameter counts on volatile precursors that do not form a film, unless the are activated (converted into radicals) in a plasma. In PAVTD, the situation is different. Even without the plasma, the heavy oligomers condense (and partially spontaneously polymerize) readily on any surface. The flow of the monomer can be then directly correlated with the film deposition rate, so in the work [JK10] it was demonstrated that a parameter "effective plasma power" P_{eff}

$$P_{eff} = \frac{W}{dh/dt}$$

where W is the plasma power and dh/dt is the deposition rate (in thickness per time). It was demonstrated that even a diverse set of experiments (caused by higher sensitivity of PLA to thermal fluctuation in the crucible compared to

PEO) can be then clearly sorted and dependencies of composition and stability on P_{eff} can be demonstrated (Fig. 2.20, note that detection of released lactic acid means that at least some part of the original polymer chains must have been retained).



Figure 2.21: Comparison of a model of composition of PAVTD–prepared PLA– like plasma polymer with experimental data for varying discharge power. a) fractions of various types of subunits b) average length of "undisturbed" parts of PLA chain. Reproduced from [JK11].

With gradually improved stability of the setup, more precise experiments with PLA–like PAVTD films were possible on a larger set of samples [JK11, JK12], allowing utilization of "volume" diagnostics methods like gel permeation chromatography (GPC) or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), that are not usually possible to be employed on plasma polymers. Using the combined data from XPS and NMR, it was possible to get a surprisingly clear picture of the molecular structure of the films (Fig. 2.21). This structure could be well approximated by the original PLA chain interleaved with ether–like and hydrocarbon–like units in the chain. With increasing plasma power, the amount of "modified" units increased and the average length of the original PLA chains decreased. At lowest plasma powers, where the length of undisturbed parts of PLA chain shall represent the length of the oligomers thermally released from the crucible. A very good agreement between values obtained from XPS+NMR and from GPC was found.

Moreover, elucidation of the molecular structure allowed the development of the numerical model of this specific plasma polymerization process. Utilizing knowledge gained on other oxygen–containing polymers (section 2.1.3) the model could reproduce the dependency of the film composition on the plasma (re)polymerization power surprisingly well. Such predictive models are rare in the field of plasma polymerization.



Figure 2.22: Tunable stability of PLA–like PAVTD films prepared at varying discharge power. a) Stability in water for varying time of immersion indicated by relative remaining thickness (gel volume fraction) b–d) SEM images obtained after 15-second immersion in water and drying. Reproduced from [JK12].



Figure 2.23: Schematic representation of two distinct mechanisms leading to release of the drug from the carrying layer overcoated by PLA–like PAVTD films prepared at various discharge powers. Reproduced from graphical abstract of [JK12].

The same PLA–like films were also characterized by thermal stability and especially by the stability in water. A huge – over 5 orders of magnitude – range of tunability of the characteristic time for the stability in water was achieved, from sub-seconds to weeks (Fig. 2.22). At low polymerization plasma power, the oligomer wash–out is the dominant process. After

attaing of the gelation point, when the free chains start to disappear, the stability clearly increases. The SEM images show that the film is not fully homogeneous and forms a more and less stable domains.

Interestingly, the films prepared without plasma are more stable than the films prepared at very low plasma power. It seems that there is a competition between fragmentation of the oligomers and their polymerization. This top-down vs. bottom-up competition is a part of the ongoing studies.

The broad tunability of the PLA–like films were also tested as the drug release–delaying films. In a similar fashion like with PEO–like films nisin–loaded PVA film was overcoated by PLA–like layer and then the release kinetics of nisin into water was measured. The situation was more complex than in the case of PEO–like films. Two different mechanisms of the drug release were identified (Fig. 2.23). Still, the tunability of the release times from minutes to hours was shown.



Figure 2.24: Comparison of oxygen/carbon content ratio of classical PLA polymer, common PLA–like plasma polymers (PECVD) and PAVTD films [68, 69]. Reproduced from [JK11].

These experiments have clearly shown that PAVTD is a very useful tool to produce and study materials not easily obtainable neither via classical chemistry nor via common plasma polymerization (Fig. 2.24). In a way, it can be said that PAVTD bridges the gap between classical and plasma chemistry .

3. Concluding remarks and outlook

The low temperature plasma physics and plasma chemistry is a very diverse field. In this thesis, its author tried to cover several more or less closely related problems that can lead – or already have led – to better understanding of the processes in the plasma, on the plasma–adjacent surfaces and the processes connecting these domains. The classical low temperature plasma physics in atomic or simple molecular gases is not a simple field in itself. Incorporation of nanostructures and macromolecules into the plasma processes makes the related physics only more diverse and fascinating.

Author's personal mid-term plan is the improvement of PAVTD technique even further, in order to utilize as a study tool both on the borders of plasma polymerization and the borders of classical polymer physics. Moreover, in terms of material science, combining PAVTD with the advanced vacuum-based methods of producing nanoparticles, new types of nanocomposite structure can be possible.

4. Selected publications

- [JK1] J. Kousal, A. Shelemin, O. Kylian, D. Slavínská, and H. Biederman. In-situ monitoring of etching of bovine serum albumin using lowtemperature atmospheric plasma jet. *Applied Surface Science*, 392:1049– 1054, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.09.135.
- [JK2] J. Hanus, J. Kousal, A. Choukourov, H. Biederman, and D. Slavinska. RF Magnetron Sputtering of Poly(propylene) in a Mixture of Argon and Nitrogen. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 4:S806–S811, 2007. DOI: 10.1002/ppap.200731910.
- [JK3] J. Kousal, J. Hanus, A. Choukourov, O. Polonskyi, H. Biederman, and D. Slavínská. In situ diagnostics of rf magnetron sputtering of nylon. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 6:S803–S807, 2009. DOI: 10.1002/ ppap.200932001.
- [JK4] M. Drábik, J. Kousal, Y. Pihosh, A. Choukourov, H. Biederman, D. Slavínská, A. Macková, A. Boldyreva, and J. Pešička. Composite SiO_x/hydrocarbon plasma polymer films prepared by rf magnetron sputtering of SiO₂ and polyimide. *Vacuum*, 81(7):920–927, 2007. DOI: 10.1016/j.vacuum.2006.10.013.
- [JK5] J. Kousal, O. Polonskyi, O. Kylian, A. Choukourov, A. Artemenko, J. Pešička, D. Slavínská, and H. Biederman. Characterization of nanoparticle flow produced by gas aggregation source. *Vacuum*, 96:32–38, 2013. DOI: 10.1016/j.vacuum.2013.02.015.
- [JK6] J. Kousal, A. Kolpaková, A. Shelemin, P. Kudrna, M. Tichý, O. Kylián, J. Hanuš, A. Choukourov, and H. Biederman. Monitoring of conditions inside gas aggregation cluster source during production of Ti/Tio_x nanoparticles. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, 26(10):105003, 2017. DOI: 10.1088/1361-6595/aa88e8.
- [JK7] J. Kousal, A. Shelemin, M. Schwartzkopf, O. Polonskyi, J. Hanus, P. Solař, M. Vaidulych, D. Nikitin, P. Pleskunov, Z. Krtouš, T. Strunskus, F. Faupel, S. V. Roth, H. Biederman, and A. Choukourov. Magnetron-sputtered copper nanoparticles: lost in gas aggregation and found by in situ X-ray scattering. *Nanoscale*, 10(38):18275–18281, 2018. DOI: 10.1039/C8NR06155F.
- [JK8] J. Kousal, J. Hanuš, A. Choukourov, P. Hlidek, H. Biederman, D. Slavínská, and J. Zemek. RF magnetron sputtering and evaporation of polyisobutylene and low density polyethylene.

Surface & Coatings Technology, 200(1-4):472-475, 2005. DOI: 10.1016/j.surfcoat.2005.02.107.

- [JK9] J. Kousal, J. Sedlaříková, Z. Kolářová-Rašková, Z. Krtouš, L. Kučerová, A. Hurajová, M. Vaidulych, J. Hanuš, and M. Lehocký. Degradable poly(ethylene oxide)-like plasma polymer films used for the controlled release of nisin. *Polymers*, 12(6):1263, 2020. DOI: 10.3390/polym12061263.
- [JK10] J. Kousal, Z. Krtouš, Z. Kolářová-Rašková, J. Sedlaříková, J. Schaefer, L. Kučerová, A. Shelemin, P. Solař, A. Hurajová, H. Biederman, and M. Lehocký. Degradable plasma polymer films with tailored hydrolysis behavior. *Vacuum*, 173:109062, 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.vacuum. 2019.109062.
- [JK11] Z. Krtouš, L. Hanyková, I. Krakovský, D. Nikitin, P. Pleskunov, O. Kylián, J. Sedlaříková, and J. Kousal. Structure of plasma (re)polymerized polylactic acid films fabricated by plasma-assisted vapour thermal deposition. *Materials*, 14(2):459, 2021. DOI: 10.3390/ma14020459.
- [JK12] Z. Krtouš, J. Kousal, J. Sedlaříková, Z. Kolářová-Rašková, L. Kučerová, I. Krakovský, J. Kučera, S. Ali-Ogly, P. Pleskunov, and A. Choukourov. Thin films of cross-linked polylactic acid as tailored platforms for controlled drug release. *Surface & Coatings Technology*, 421:127402, 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j.surfcoat.2021.127402.

4.1 Author's role(s)

- [JK1] First author, corresponding author.
- [JK2] Second author.
- [JK3] First author, corresponding author.
- [JK4] Second author.
- [JK5] First author, corresponding author.
- [JK6] First author, corresponding author.
- [JK7] First author, student (coauthor) supervisor.
- [JK8] First author, corresponding author.

- [JK9] First author, student (coauthor) supervisor.
- [JK10] First author, corresponding author, student (coauthor) supervisor.
- [JK11] Corresponding author, student (first author) supervisor
- [JK12] Corresponding author, second author, students (first author, coauthor) supervisor

5. General references

- A. Fridman. *Plasma Chemistry*. Cambridge University Press, 1st edition, 2008. ISBN: 9780521847353.
- [2] R. Hippler, H. Kersten, M. Schmidt, and K. H. Schoenbach, editors. Low Temperature Plasmas: Fundamentals, Technologies and Techniques. Wiley-VCH, 2nd edition, 2008. ISBN: 9783527406739.
- [3] R. L. Baxter, H. C. Baxter, G. A. Campbell, K. Grant, A. Jones, P. Richardson, and G. Whittaker. Quantitative analysis of residual protein contamination on reprocessed surgical instruments. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 63(4):439–444, 2006. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2006.03.011.
- [4] M. Laroussi, S. Bekeschus, M. Keidar, A. Bogaerts, A. Fridman, X. Lu, K. Ostrikov, M. Hori, K. Stapelmann, V. Miller, S. Reuter, C. Laux, A. Mesbah, J. Walsh, C. Jiang, S. M. Thagard, H. Tanaka, D. Liu, D. Yan, and M. Yusupov. Low-Temperature Plasma for Biology, Hygiene, and Medicine: Perspective and Roadmap. *IEEE Transactions on Radiation and Plasma Medical Sciences*, 6(2):127–157, 2022. DOI: 10.1109/TRPMS.2021.3135118.
- [5] J. R. Roth. Industrial Plasma Engineering; Volume 2: Applications to Nonthermal Plasma Processing. IOP Publishing, 1st edition, 2001. ISBN: 0750305444.
- [6] R. Harrop and P. J. Harrop. Friction of sputtered PTFE films. *Thin Solid Films*, 3(1969):109–117, 1968. DOI: 10.1016/0040-6090(69)90083-2.
- [7] D. T. Morrison and T. Robertson. R.F sputtering of plastics. *Thin Solid Films*, 15:87–101, 1973. DOI: 10.1016/0040-6090(73)90207-1.
- [8] H. Biederman, S. M. Ojha, and L. Holland. The properties of fluorocarbon films prepared by R.F. sputtering and plasma polymerization in inert and active gas. *Thin Solid Films*, 41:329–339, 1977. DOI: 10.1016/ 0040-6090 (77) 90319-4.
- [9] H. Biederman. The properties of films prepared of PTFE and plasma polymerization by the rf sputtering of some freons. *Vacuum*, 31(7):285–289, 1981. DOI: 10.1016/S0042-207X (81) 80498-8.

- [10] H. Biederman, P. Bílková, J. Ježek, P. Hlídek, and D. Slavínská. RF magnetron sputtering of polymers. *Journal of Non Crystalline Solids*, 218:44–49, 1997. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3093(97)00196-8.
- [11] C. Rodriguez-Emmenegger, O. Kylián, M. Houska, E. Brynda, A. Artemenko, J. Kousal, A. Bologna Alles, and H. Biederman. Substrate-Independent Approach for the Generation of Functional Protein Resistant Surfaces. *Biomacromolecules*, 12:1058–1066, 2011. DOI: 10.1021/bm101406m.
- Q. Wei and R. Haag. Universal polymer coatings and their representative biomedical applications. *Materials Horizons*, 2:567–577, 2015. DOI: 10.1039/c5mh00089k.
- [13] K. S. Siow, L. Britcher, S. Kumar, and H. J. Griesser. Plasma methods for the generation of chemically reactive surfaces for biomolecule immobilization and cell colonization - A review. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 3(6-7):392–418, 2006. DOI: 10.1002/ppap.200600021.
- [14] F. Truica-Marasescu and M. R. Wertheimer. Nitrogen-Rich Plasma-Polymer Films for Biomedical Applications. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 5(1):44–57, 2008. DOI: 10.1002/ppap.200700077.
- [15] K. Schröder, B. Finke, H. Jesswein, F. Lüthen, A. Diener, R. Ihrke, A. Ohl, K. Weltmann, J. Rychly, and J. B. Nebe. Similarities between Plasma Amino Functionalized PEEK and Titanium Surfaces Concerning Enhancement of Osteoblast Cell Adhesion. *ournal of Adhesion Science and Technology*, 24(5):905–923, 2010. DOI: 10.1163/016942409X12598231567989.
- [16] D. Hegemann, B. Hanselmann, S. Guimond, G. Fortunato, M.-N. Giraud, and A. G. Guex. Considering the degradation effects of amino-functional plasma polymer coatings for biomedical application. *Surface & Coatings Technology*, 255:90–95, 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.surfcoat.2014.01.054.
- [17] N. B. Singh, editor. *Nanocomposites*. Jenny Stanford Publishing, New York, 1st edition, 2022. ISBN: 9781003314479.
- [18] J. Peng and Q. Cheng. High-Performance Nanocomposites Inspired by Nature. Advanced Materials, 29(45):1702959, 2017. DOI: 10.1002/ adma.201702959.

- [19] I. U. Unalan, G. Cerri, E. Marcuzzo, C. A. Cozzolino, and S. Farris. Nanocomposite films and coatings using inorganic nanobuilding blocks (NBB): current applications and future opportunities in the food packaging sector. *RSC Advances*, 4(56):29393–29428, 2014. DOI: 10.1039/C4RA01778A.
- [20] M. Faraday. X. The Bakerian Lecture. Experimental relations of gold (and other metals) to light. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 147:145–181, 1857. DOI: 10.1098/rstl.1857.0011.
- [21] C. Dhand, N. Dwivedi, X. J. Loh, A. N. J. Ying, N. K. Verma, R. W. Beuerman, R. Lakshminarayanan, and S. Ramakrishna. Methods and strategies for the synthesis of diverse nanoparticles and their applications: a comprehensive overview. *RSC Advances*, 5(127):105003–105037, 2015. DOI: 10.1039/C5RA19388E.
- [22] C. Binns. Nanoclusters deposited on surfaces. *Surface Science Reports*, 44(1-2):1–49, 2001. DOI: 10.1016/S0167-5729(01)00015-2.
- [23] H. Haberland, M. Karrais, and M. Mall. A new type of cluster and cluster ion source. *Zeitschrift für Physik D Atoms, Molecules and Clusters*, 20(1):413–415, 1991. DOI: 10.1007/BF01544025.
- [24] R. Hippler, M. Cada, V. Stranak, Z. Hubicka, and C. A. Helm. Pressure dependence of Ar₂⁺ ArTi⁺ and Ti₂⁺ dimer formation in a magnetron sputtering discharge. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 50(44):445205, 2017. DOI: 10.1088/1361-6463/aa8b9a.
- [25] Y. Huttel, editor. *Gas-Phase Synthesis of Nanoparticles*. Wiley-VCH, 1st edition, 2017. ISBN: 9783527340606.
- [26] A. Marek, J. Valter, S. Kadlec, and J. Vyskočil. Gas aggregation nanocluster source — Reactive sputter deposition of copper and titanium nanoclusters. *Surface & Coatings Technology*, 205:S573–S576, 2011. DOI: 10.1016/j.surfcoat.2010.12.027.
- [27] T. Peter, O. Polonskyi, B. Gojdka, A. M. Ahadi, T. Strunskus, V. Zaporojtchenko, H. Biederman, and F. Faupel. Influence of reactive gas admixture on transition metal cluster nucleation in a gas aggregation cluster source. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 112:114321, 2012. DOI: 10. 1063/1.4768528.
- [28] O. Polonskyi, T. Peter, A. M. Ahadi, A. Hinz, T. Strunskus, V. Zaporojtchenko, H. Biederman, and F. Faupel. Huge increase in gas phase nanoparticle generation by pulsed direct current sputtering in a re-

active gas admixture. *Applied Physics Letters*, 103:033118, 2013. DOI: 10.1063/1.4816036.

- [29] G. Krishnan, S. D. Graaf, G. H. ten Brink, P. O. Å. Persson, B. J. Kooi, and G. Palasantzas. Strategies to initiate and control the nucleation behavior of bimetallic nanoparticles. *Nanoscale*, 9:8149–8156, 2017. DOI: 10.1039/c7nr00916j.
- [30] B. M. Smirnov, I. Shyjumon, and R. Hippler. Flow of nanosize cluster-containing plasma in a magnetron discharge. *Physical Review E*, 75:066402, 2007. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.75.066402.
- [31] M. Ganeva, A. V. Pipa, B. M. Smirnov, P. V. Kashtanov, and R. Hippler. Velocity distribution of mass-selected nano-size cluster ions. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, 22(4):045011, 2013. DOI: 10.1088/0963-0252/22/4/045011.
- [32] P. Solař, J. Kousal, J. Hanuš, K. Škorvánková, A. Kuzminova, and O. Kylián. Mechanical time of flight filter based on slotted disks and helical rotor for measurement of velocities of nanoparticles. *Scientific Reports*, 11:6415, 2021. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-85533-7.
- [33] J. Hanuš, M. Vaidulych, O. Kylián, A. Choukourov, J. Kousal, I. Khalakhan, M. Cieslar, P. Solař, and H. Biederman. Fabrication of Ni@Ti core-shell nanoparticles by modified gas aggregation source. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 50(47):475307, 2017. DOI: 10.1088/1361-6463/aa8f25.
- [34] L. Zhang, J. Shao, and X. Chen. Numerical simulation of nanocluster motion through a DC magnetron nanocluster source. *Vaccum*, 128:137–145, 2016. DOI: 10.1016/j.vacuum.2016.03.011.
- [35] R. Rudd, A. Obrusník, P. Zikán, C. Hall, P. Murphy, D. Evans, and E. Charrault. Plasma gas aggregation cluster source: Influence of gas inlet configuration and total surface area on the heterogeneous aggregation of silicon clusters. *Surface & Coatings Technology*, 364:1–6, 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.surfcoat.2019.02.074.
- [36] G. Sanzone, J. Yin, K. Cooke, H. Sun, and P. Lievens. Impact of the gas dynamics on the cluster flux in a magnetron cluster-source: Influence of the chamber shape and gas- inlet position. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 033901(92):033901, 2021. DOI: 10.1063/5.0028854.

- [37] S. Ali-Ogly, J. Kousal, D. Nikitin, P. Pleskunov, J. Hanuš, A. Choukourov, and H. Biederman. Computational fluid dynamics predicts the nanoparticle transport in gas aggregation cluster sources. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 55(44):445203, 2022. DOI: 10.1088/1361– 6463/ac8c4e.
- [38] J. Blažek, P. Bartoš, R. Basner, and H. Kersten. Distribution of charge on clusters in a magnetron discharge. In *Proceedings of 30th ICPIG*, B5– 322, 2011.
- [39] A. Melzer. Mode spectra of thermally excited two-dimensional dust Coulomb clusters. *Physical Review E*, 67:016411, 2003. DOI: 10.1103/ PhysRevE.67.016411.
- [40] M. Bonitz. Complex Plasmas: Scientific Challenges and Technological Opportunities. M. Bonitz, J. Lopez, K. Becker, and H. Thomsen, editors. Springer Cham, 1st edition, 2014. ISBN: 9783319054360. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-05437-7.
- [41] H. R. Maurer, V. Schneider, M. Wolter, R. Basner, T. Trottenberg, and H. Kersten. Microparticles as Plasma Diagnostic Tools. *Contributions to Plasma Physics*, 51(2-3):218–227, 2011. DOI: 10.1002/ctpp.201000091.
- [42] A. Bouchoule and L. Boufendi. Particulate formation and dusty plasma behaviour in argon–silane RF discharge. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, **2**(3):204, 1993. DOI: 10.1088/0963–0252/2/3/011.
- [43] E. Kovačević, I. Stefanović, J. Berndt, and J. Winter. Infrared fingerprints and periodic formation of nanoparticles in Ar/C₂H₂ plasmas. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 93(5):2924–2930, 2003. DOI: 10.1063/1. 1541118.
- [44] M. A. Koten, S. A. Voeller, M. M. Patterson, and J. E. Shield. In situ measurements of plasma properties during gas-condensation of Cu nanoparticles. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 119:114306, 2016. DOI: 10. 1063/1.4943630.
- [45] A. Shelemin, O. Kylián, J. Hanuš, A. Choukourov, I. Melnichuk, A. Serov, D. Slavínská, and H. Biederman. Preparation of metal oxide nanoparticles by gas aggregation cluster source. 120:162–169, 2015. DOI: 10.1016/j.vacuum.2015.07.008.
- [46] J. Musil, P. Baroch, J. Vlček, K. H. Nam, and J. G. Han. Reactive magnetron sputtering of thin films: present status and trends. *Thin Solid Films*, 475:208–218, 2005. DOI: 10.1016/j.tsf.2004.07.041.

- [47] F. F. Chen. *Lecture Notes on Langmuir Probe Diagnostics*. IEEE-ICOPS, 2003.
- [48] C. Arnas, T. Guidez, A. Chami, J. H. Mun, and L. Couedel. Forces applied to nanoparticles in magnetron discharges and the resulting size segregation. *Physics of Plasmas*, 29:073703, 2022. DOI: 10.1063/5.0095103.
- [49] I. Barke, H. Hartmann, D. Rupp, L. Flückiger, M. Sauppe, M. Adolph, S. Schorb, C. Bostedt, R. Treusch, C. Peltz, S. Bartling, T. Fennel, K.-H. Meiwes-Broer, and T. Möller. The 3D-architecture of individual free silver nanoparticles captured by X-ray scattering. *Nature Communications*, 6:6187, 2015. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7187.
- [50] A. Shelemin, P. Pleskunov, J. Kousal, J. Drewes, J. Hanuš, S. Ali-Ogly, D. Nikitin, P. Solař, J. Kratochvíl, M. Vaidulych, M. Schwartzkopf, O. Kylián, O. Polonskyi, T. Strunskus, F. Faupel, S. V. Roth, H. Biederman, and A. Choukourov. Nucleation and Growth of Magnetron-Sputtered Ag Nanoparticles as Witnessed by Time-Resolved Small Angle X-Ray Scattering. *Particle & Particle Systems Characterization*, 37(2):1900436, 2020. DOI: 10.1002/ppsc.201900436.
- [51] D. Nikitin, J. Hanuš, S. Ali-Ogly, O. Polonskyi, J. Drewes, F. Faupel, H. Biederman, and A. Choukourov. The evolution of Ag nanoparticles inside a gas aggregation cluster source. 16(10):e1900079, 2019. DOI: 10.1002/ppap.201900079.
- [52] J. Drewes, S. Ali-Ogly, T. Strunskus, O. Polonskyi, H. Biederman, F. Faupel, and A. Vahl. Impact of argon flow and pressure on the trapping behavior of nanoparticles inside a gas aggregation source. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 19:e2100125, 2022. DOI: 10.1002/ppap.202100125.
- [53] J. Drewes, S. Rehders, T. Strunskus, H. Kersten, F. Faupel, and A. Vahl. In Situ Laser Light Scattering for Temporally and Locally Resolved Studies on Nanoparticle Trapping in a Gas Aggregation Source. *Particle & Particle Systems Characterization*, 39(11):2200112, 2022. DOI: 10. 1002/ppsc.202200112.
- [54] M. White. Vacuum evaporation of Polythene. *Vacuum*, 15(9):449–450, 1965. DOI: 10.1016/0042-207X (65) 92111-1.
- [55] P. P. Luff and M. White. Thermal degradation of polyethylene and polytetrafluoroethylene during vacuum evaporation. *Vacuum*, 18(8):437–440, 1968. DOI: 10.1016/0042-207X(68)90336-9.

- [56] T. C. Nason, J. A. Moore, and T.-M. Lu. Deposition of amorphous fluoropolymer thin films by thermolysis of Teflon amorphous fluoropolymer. *Applied Physics Letters*, 60(15):1866–1868, 1992. DOI: 10.1063/1. 107163.
- [57] H. Usui, I. Yamada, and T. Takagi. Anthracene and polyethylene thin film depositions by ionized cluster beam. *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A*, 4(1):52–60, 1986. DOI: 10.1116/1.573497.
- [58] H. Usui, H. Koshikawa, and K. Tanaka. Effect of substrate temperature on the deposition of polytetrafluoroethylene by an ionizationassisted evaporation method. *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A*, 13(5):2318–2324, 1995. DOI: 10.1116/1.579515.
- [59] G. Maggioni, S. Carturan, V. Rigato, and U. Pieri. Effects of the ion-solid interaction in glow discharge vapour deposition polymerization of pyromellitic dianhydride. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B*, 166-167:737–742, 2000. DOI: 10.1016/S0168-583X(99)01063-0.
- [60] G. Maggioni, S. Carturan, V. Rigato, and G. Della Mea. Glow discharge vapour deposition polymerisation of polyimide thin coatings. *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 142-144:156–162, 2001. DOI: 10.1016/ S0257-8972 (01) 01093-3.
- [61] A. Barranco, F. Aparicio, A. Yanguas-Gil, P. Groening, J. Cotrino, and A. R. Gonzáles-Elipe. Optically Active Thin Films Deposited by Plasma Polymerization of Dye Molecules. *Chemical Vapor Deposition*, 13:319–325, 2007. DOI: 10.1002/cvde.200606552.
- [62] I. Blaszczyk-Lezak, F. J. Aparicio, A. Borrás, A. Barranco, A. Alvárez-Herrero, M. Fernández-Rodríguez, and A. R. Gonzáles-Elipe. Optically Active Luminescent Perylene Thin Films Deposited by Plasma Polymerization. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 113:431–438, 2009. DOI: 10.1021/jp807634j.
- [63] I. Kholodkov, H. Biederman, D. Slavínská, A. Choukourov, and A. Trchová. Plasma polymers prepared by RF sputtering of polyethylene. *Vacuum*, 70:505–509, 2003. DOI: 10.1016/S0042-207X(02)00702-9.
- [64] A. Choukourov, J. Hanuš, J. Kousal, A. Grinevich, Y. Pihosh, D. Slavínská, and H. Biederman. Thin polymer films from polyimide vacuum thermal degradation with and without a glow discharge. *Vacuum*, 80:923–929, 2006. DOI: 10.1016/j.vacuum.2005.12.012.

- [65] A. Choukourov, I. Gordeev, D. Arzhakov, A. Artemenko, J. Kousal, O. Kylián, D. Slavínská, and H. Biederman. Does cross-link density of PEO-like plasma polymers influence their resistance to adsorption of fibrinogen? *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 9(1):48–58, 2012. DOI: 10. 1002/ppap.201100122.
- [66] A. Choukourov, I. Gordeev, J. Ponti, C. Uboldi, I. Melnichuk, M. Vaidulych, J. Kousal, D. Nikitin, L. Hanyková, I. Krakovský, D. Slavínská, and H. Biederman. Microphase-Separated PE/PEO Thin Films Prepared by Plasma-Assisted Vapor Phase Deposition. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, 8(12):8201–8212, 2016. DOI: 10.1021/acsami.5b12382.
- [67] H. Yasuda. *Plasma Polymerization*. Academic Press, 1st edition, 1985. ISBN: 9780323139458.
- [68] S. Ligot, F. Renaux, L. Denis, D. Cossement, N. Nuns, P. Dubois, and R. Snyders. Experimental study of the plasma polymerization of ethyl lactate. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 10(11):999–1009, 2013. DOI: 10. 1002/ppap.201300025.
- [69] M. Laurent, E. Desjardins, M. Meichelboeck, N. Naudé, L. Stafford, N. Gherardi, and G. Laroche. Characterization of argon dielectric barrier discharges applied to ethyl lactate plasma polymerization. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 50:475205, 2017. DOI: 10.1088/1361-6463/aa916d.